### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:			PCT					
see form PCT/ISA/220			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)					
			Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)				
Applicant's or agent's file refe see form PCT/ISA/220	erence		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below					
International application No. PCT/IL2005/000303				Priority date (day/month/year) 18.03.2004				
International Patent Classifica A61F2/00	ation (IPC) or I	both national classification	and IPC					
Applicant CONTIPI LTD.								
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  □ Box No. I □ Basis of the opinion □ Box No. II □ Priority □ Box No. III □ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability □ Box No. IV □ Lack of unity of invention □ Box No. V □ Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement □ Box No. VI □ Certain documents cited □ Box No. VII □ Certain defects in the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international application □ Box No. VIII □ Certain observations on the international Box No. VIII □ Certain observational Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220.								
3. For further details, s	see notes to I	Form PCT/ISA/220.						
Name and mailing address o	of the ISA:		Authorized Officer					

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Mary, C

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4409



International application No. PCT/IL2005/000303

_							
_	Box	No	. I Basis of the opinion				
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>							
		lang	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With	reg essa	pard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
a. type of material:							
	۲	3	a sequence listing				
	ב	<u>.</u>	table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. fo	rma	t of material:				
	C	<b>]</b> i	in written format				
		<b>J</b> i	in computer readable form				
	c. tir	ne d	of filing/furnishing:				
	C	. כ	contained in the international application as filed.				
	E	3 1	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		J 1	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.		has cop	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional lies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.				
A	۸۵۵	ition	al comments:				

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000303

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
			ntion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non have not been examined in respect of:			
	the entire international application,					
Ø	claims Nos. 30-35,9-29					
b€	cause:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
Ø	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 30-35, 9-29					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
	See separate sheet for further	detai	Is			

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000303

_	Во	x No. IV	Lack of unity of	inventio	n	-				
1. M In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:					nt has:					
			paid additional fees	<b>3</b> .						
			paid additional fees	under p	rotest.					
			not paid additional	fees.						
2.		This Au	uthority found that the	e require nal fees.	ment of ur	nity of inventi	on is not com	iplied with a	and chose not	to invite
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13					and 13.3 is					
		complied	d with							
	<b>Ø</b>	not com	plied with for the foll	owing rea	asons:					
		see se	parate sheet							
4.	Cor	rsequen	tly, this report has b	een estal	blished in r	espect of the	following pa	rts of the in	ternational ap	plication:
	□ all parts.									
☐ the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-8										
_	Box	c No. V ustrial a	Reasoned statem	nent und ons and e	ler Rule 43 explanation	B <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) w	vith regard to ng such stat	o novelty, i	nventive ste	p or
1.	Stat	tement								
	Nov	elty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-3,6-8				
	Inve	entive st	ep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-8				
	Indu	ustrial ap	oplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-8				
2.	Cita	tions an	d explanations							

Form PCT/ISA/237 (January 2004)

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000303

#### Box No. VI Certain documents cited

- Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10) and /or
- 2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

### Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

#### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE International application No. INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING **AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

PCT/IL2005/000303

#### Re Item III.

Rule 39.1(iv) and Rule 67.1 (iv) PCT - Method for treatment of the human or animal body by therapy: Claims 30-35 disclose a method of treating pelvic organ prolapse which is a method of treatment by therapy.

#### Re Item IV.

The separate inventions/groups of inventions are:

- Claims 1-8: an apparatus for treating pelvic organ prolapse comprising a main body and an applicator
- Claims 9-19: an apparatus for treating pelvic organ prolapse comprising a main body and an anchoring body
- Claims 20-29: an apparatus for treating pelvic organ prolapse comprising a thin body which is deformable at least three points thereon.

They are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (Rule 13.1 PCT) for the following reasons:

The document EP0933069 cited in our search report discloses an apparatus for treating pelvic organ prolapse comprising a main body and an applicator. Beyond this prior art, the special technical features (in the meaning of Rule 13.2 of the P.C.T) left in the independant claims of the application are:

- In independent claim 1: none.
- In independant claim 9: an apparatus for treating pelvic organ prolapse comprising a main body and an anchoring body.
- In independant claim 20: an apparatus for treating pelvic organ prolapse comprising a thin body which is deformable at at least three points thereon.

No same or correspondent special technical feature can be found between claim 1 and claims 9 and 20. There is therefore no technical relationship involving same or corresponding special technical features between claim 1 and claims 9 and 20.

The inventions defined in the above-mentioned claims are not linked by a common inventive concept.

#### Re Item V.

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP 0 933 069 A (TSCHANNEN, PETER) 4 August 1999 (1999-08-04)

D2: US 2002/120243 A1 (KRAEMER ROBERT ET AL) 29 August 2002 (2002-08-29)

#### 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

An apparatus for treating pelvic organ prolapse comprising a main body (1) adapted to provide pelvic organ support when inserted into a vagina and an applicator (11) for inserting said main body into a vagina.

#### 3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-8

Dependent claims 2-8 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

- Claims 2-3, 6-8: novelty, see D1.
- Claims 4-5: inventive step, see D2.

#### Re Item VIII.

4 Claims 6 and 8 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claims attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved, which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem, without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result.